

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/538,437  | 06/10/2005      | Atsushi Nakajima        | 05367/HG            | 3902             |
| 1933  | 7590 08/15/2006 | EXAMINER                |                     |                  |
| FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC<br>220 Fifth Avenue<br>16TH Floor<br>NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708 |                 |                         | SHAH, MANISH S      |                  |
|   |                 |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                 |                         | 2853                |                  |
|   |                 | DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006 |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <b>F</b>    | 4 |
|-------------|---|
| 11          |   |
| 9ss         |   |
| DAYS,       |   |
| nunication. |   |
|             |   |
| andto in    |   |
| nerits is   |   |
|             |   |
|             |   |
|             |   |
|             |   |
| 1.121(d).   |   |
| -152.       |   |
|             |   |
| age         |   |
|             |   |
|             |   |

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                 |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commany   | 10/538,437  | NAKAJIMA, ATSUSHI            |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit                     |  |  |  |  |
|   | Manish S. Shah  | 2853                         |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju  | ne 2006.  |                              |  |  |  |  |
| , <u> </u>  | action is non-final.  |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan   | ice except for formal matters, pro  | secution as to the merits is |  |  |  |  |
| ,   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/16/06.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanabe et al. (# US 2001/0047044) in view of Owatari (# US 4489334).

Tanabe et al. discloses an inkjet recording method for forming image by ejecting an UV-setting ink-jet ink (see Abstract), which includes color materials ([0034]-[0036]), UV-polymeric compound (photo polymerization resin) (see Abstract; [0021]-[0029]), and photo-induced polymerization initiator (see Abstract; [0030]-[0033]) in a water-based medium (see Abstract; [0039]), on a base material and applying ultraviolet light to the ink on the base material ([0049]-[0056]; [0088]-[0090]).

Tanabe et al. differs from the claim of the present invention is that a concentration of oxygen dissolved in the ink is 0.1 to 2 ppm at 25 °C.

Owatari teaches that to get the excellent ink jetting property, and high quality printed image, initial concentration of oxygen dissolved in the ink is 5.3 ppm, and after placing the oxygen absorbing capsule in to the ink, the concentration of the oxygen dissolved in the ink is substantially 0 (almost 0) (column: 4, line: 5-11).

Application/Control Number: 10/538,437 Page 3

Art Unit: 2853

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the ink composition of Tanabe et al. by the aforementioned teaching of Owatari in order to have the ink with excellent ink jetting property, and which gives high quality printing.

It is noted that the recitation "a concentration of oxygen dissolved in the ink is 0.1 to 2 ppm at 25 °C" appears to be as design expedient for an engineer depending upon particular environment and applications which the Owatari inkjet fluid supply to be used. Also since it has been held that where the general condition of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable range involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manish S. Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-2152. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,437

Art Unit: 2853

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Manish S. Shah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2853 Page 4

MSS

8/9/06